



## SecurAcath Clinical Evidence

### PAPERS

#### **Catheter Securement Impact on PICC-related CLABSI: A University Hospital Perspective**

Rowe, et al, American Journal of Infection Control, Vol. 48, Dec 2020

- University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (UAMS) analyzed 7,779 patients over four years of Central Line Associated Bloodstream Infection (CLABSI) data
- Analysis compared outcomes of patients whose PICCs were secured with a the SecurAcath to those secured with an adhesive device
- Study found a substantial difference in relative risk of CLABSI among securement devices
- Analysis showed those who had an adhesive device had a 288% increase in risk of CLABSI compared to those who had a SecurAcath

#### **Choice and management of vascular access in the context of COVID-19 outbreak in Italy: Recommendations from clinical practice**

Vailati, et al. The Journal of Vascular Access, Nov 2020

- SIAARTI ("Società Italiana di Anestesia, Analgesia, Rianimazione e Terapia Intensiva") Research Group on Vascular Access has formulated some essential recommendations for the optimization of the selection, insertion, and maintenance of the vascular access devices
- As regards securement, since COVID-19 patients are often treated by repeated pronation cycles, we suggest adopting long-term securement strategies (i.e. subcutaneously anchored securement devices) for all central lines (PICC, CICC, and FICC), in order to prevent accidental dislocations or removals.

#### **Recommendations for the use of vascular access in the COVID-19 patients: an Italian perspective**

Pittiruti and Pinelli, Critical Care, 24:269, May 2020

- Recommendations for the selection, insertion, and maintenance of the venous access devices, designed to protect the operator, to ensure the effectiveness of the maneuver, to reduce the risk of complications, and to save resources.
- As the risk of central venous catheter dislodgment is particularly high in the COVID-19 patient, particularly during the maneuvers of pronation-supination, consider the use of subcutaneously anchored securement

#### **Do subcutaneously engineered stabilisation devices reduce PICC migration? A product evaluation report**

Culverwell, et al. The Australian Journal of Cancer Nursing, Vol. 21, No. 2, Nov 2020

- In 2013, a concerning trend in PICC migration complications and re-insertions related to catheter movement was identified
- In 2014, 150 (11%) PICCs required reinsertion due to migration
- Social costs in terms of patient suffering and delays in therapy, as well as financial implications in terms of associated additional costs, calculated to a value of NZ\$54,750
- Furthermore, in one of these cases of PICC migration, a fatality occurred that was linked to inadequate PICC securement
- Our findings showed that implementation of a SESD (SecurAcath) had benefits for both patients and staff
- The aim to reduce PICC migration rates and associated complications was achieved.

- The SEDS used in this product evaluation proved a successful measure to reduce PICC migration events. An organizational decision was made to embed SEDS as the preferred securement method in PICC care bundles for adult patients

## **Guidelines of the Italian Association of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology for the management of the central venous access devices in pediatric patients with onco-hematological disease**

Cellini, et al. The Journal of Vascular Access, Nov 2020

- Important innovations have been developed recently in the field of CVADs, leading to new insertion methods, new materials and new strategy in the overall management of the device, especially in the adult population
- These guidelines recommend how to apply these innovations in the pediatric population
- Securement of CVAD is an important safeguard as it reduces one of the most important complications, that is, dislodgment.
- Securement must be chosen according to the following characteristics: it must prevent movements of the CVAD and avoid dislocation, prevent accidental removal, prevent micro-movements that generate damage to the vascular walls and protect the insertion site from microbial contamination maintaining skin integrity around the insertion site.
- The use of SAS is recommended for CVADs with a duration of more than 15 days or in situation at high risk for dislodgment

## **GAVeCeLT - WoCoVA Consensus on subcutaneously anchored securement devices for the securement of venous catheters: Current evidence and recommendations for future research**

Pinelli, et al, The Journal of Vascular Access, July 2020

- SAS (SecurAcath) is effective in reducing the risk of dislodgment when used for securing PICCs and other types of central VADs in adult patients as well as in children and neonates.
- SAS (SecurAcath) is associated with a low incidence of undesirable effects—most of them local and of low clinical relevance—which probably can be minimized by appropriate prevention and management.

## **Intravascular catheter migration: A cross-sectional and health-economic comparison of adhesive and subcutaneous engineered stabilisation devices for intravascular device securement**

McParlan et al, J Vasc Access, June 2019

- PICC securement study from Belfast, Northern Ireland
- Compared one full year of Statlock use to one full year of SecurAcath use
- n = 1,111 patients with Statlock, n = 1,139 patients with SecurAcath
- Average dwell time 6 months
- 5.9% catheter replacement rate with Statlock, 0% replacement with SecurAcath
- Cost savings due to decrease in catheter replacement = £17,952
- Cost savings due to not changing out SecurAcath = £59,322
- Total savings = £77,274

## **Clinical Experience of a Subcutaneously Anchored Sutureless System for Securing Central Venous Catheters**

Pittiruti, M. et al, *British Journal of Nursing*, Vol. 28, No. 2, January 2019

- Paper presents results of three prospective clinical studies of SecurAcath (SAS device) on PICCs and other central lines in different patient populations
- Three clinical studies demonstrated a 98.4% efficacy of SAS in preventing catheter dislodgement
- SAS device proved to be safe and well tolerated since SAS-related complications were few and of little or no relevance
- SAS cost-effectiveness was very high in all studies

## **Centrally inserted central catheters in preterm neonates with weight below 1500 g by ultrasound-guided access to the brachio-cephalic vein**

Barone, et al, Journal of Vascular Access, June, 2020

- Thirty centrally inserted catheters were placed in 30 neonates
- Success rate of the procedure was 100%
- Insertion bundle included use of subcutaneously anchored securement (SecurAcath) to minimize dislocations
- No complications during the procedure and no late complications (infection, thrombosis, dislocation, or catheter malfunction).

## **Subcutaneously Anchored Sutureless Device for Securement of Chest Tubes in Neonates with Pleural Effusion: Three Case Reports**

Rodriguez Perez, et al, Case Reports in Pediatrics, March 2020

- Three neonates, all of them premature, requiring the placement of a chest tube for drainage of a massive pleural effusion
- In all three patients, the chest tube was secured using a new subcutaneously anchored sutureless system (SecurAcath)
- In conclusion, we recommend SAS (SecurAcath) as a safe and effective alternative option for securing chest tubes in neonate: it is easy to insert and easy to remove; it is not associated with any undesired effect, not even in premature new-borns; most of all, it minimizes and virtually eliminates the risk of accidental dislodgment of the chest tube, an event associated with increased morbidity and increased health cost.

## **Securing CSF catheters to the skin: from sutures and bolt system to subcutaneous anchoring device towards zero complications**

Frassanito, et al, Child's Nervous System, June 2020

- SecurAcath® was used in 209 patients (mean age 7 years) to secure 195 external cranial catheters and 16 spinal drainages
- Indwell time ranged from 5 to 30 days
- No complication related to the use of the device was observed. In particular, there was no case of dislocation or accidental pullout of the catheter. Rate of infection, or superinfection in case of ventricular catheter implanted for CSF infection, was null.
- **Conclusions** SecurAcath is a safe and effective device to secure CSF external catheters, with several relevant advantages, including easy placement and maintenance. Moreover, it may stay in place for the whole duration of the catheter without any skin tissue trauma and allows a complete antisepsis of the exit site, thus reducing local skin complications. This factor has significant impact on the reduction of infection rate of external CSF catheters.

## **An observational study of the securement of central venous access devices with a subcutaneous anchor device in a paediatric population at a tertiary level hospital**

Fitzsimmons, et al, Journal of Vascular Access, May, 2020

- 52 consecutive paediatric patients, aged less than 18 years old, who required peripherally inserted central catheters and non-cuffed tunnelled centrally inserted central catheters.
- There was a reduction in securement failure from 2.58 per 1000 catheter days using historical data to 2.01 per 1000 catheter days with the use of SecurAcath
- We advocate the use of subcutaneous anchor devices (SecurAcath) in paediatric patients who require medium-term venous access

## **Evaluating Safety, Efficacy, and Cost-Effectiveness of PICC Securement by Subcutaneously Anchored Stabilization Device**

Zerla, P. A., et al, *Journal of Vascular Access*, February 2017

- PICCs
- n = 30
- Long-term oncology patients, average dwell time of 4.8 months
- 0% catheter replacement rate
- Cost savings due to not replacing SecurAcath at each dressing change = €3,354
- Previous catheter replacement rate of 7.9% with adhesive device
- Potential catheter replacement savings = €18,710

## **Potential Role of a Subcutaneously Anchored Securement Device in Preventing Dislodgement of Tunneled-Cuffed Central Venous Devices in Pediatric Patients**

Dolcino, A., et al, *Journal of Vascular Access*, Oct. 2017

- 173 pediatric patients receiving cuffed, tunneled catheters
  - 122 secured with adhesive device
  - 51 secured with SecurAcath
- Dislodgement rates
  - Adhesive 14.4%
  - SecurAcath 1.1%
- Conclusion: "We strongly suggest this new securement device be adopted for the whole life of every tunneled CVC and for the first 3-4 weeks for all cuffed CVCs"

## **SecurAstaP trial: Securement with SecurAcath versus Statlock for Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters, a Randomised Open Trial**

Goossens, L., et al, *BMJ Open* 2018; 8:e016058. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016058

- RCT on PICCs
- N = 52 SecurAcath, n = 51 Statlock
- Primary end point - time needed to perform dressing change
- SecurAcath median time = 4.3 minutes
- Statlock median time = 7.3 minutes
- 3 minutes saved at each dressing change using SecurAcath
- No differences seen in migration, dislodgement or infections, study not designed or powered for

## **A new Subcutaneously Anchored Device for Securing External Cerebrospinal Fluid Catheters: our Preliminary Experience**

Frassinito, et al, *World Journal of Neurosurgery*, Sept. 2016

- SecurAcath was used for 25 ventricular catheters and 5 spinal drainages
- Period in place ranged from 1-4 weeks (median 22 days)
- No complication related to the use of the device was observed, in particular there was no case of dislocation or accidental removal of the catheter
- SecurAcath is a safe and effective device to secure CSF external catheters to the skin, with several relevant advantages

## **Reducing PICC Migrations and Improving Patient Outcomes**

Hughes, M., *British Journal of Nursing*, January 2014; Vol. 23, No. 2, pg. 16-21.

- PICCs
- Prospective, non-randomized
- Site places 460 PICCs per year, 500 secured with SecurAcath to date
- Average PICC dwell time = 3 months
- 0% dislodgment rate, not a single catheter replacement since SecurAcath introduction
- Previous adhesive device catheter replacement rate was 4.6% (21/460)
- Annual cost savings (due to reduced dressing change, catheter replacement, x-ray and nursing time costs) to Velindre Cancer Center = £21,610

## **A Prospective Postmarket Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of a New Peripherally Inserted Central Catheter Stabilization System**

Egan et al, *Journal of Infusion Nursing*, May/June 2013; Vol. 36, No. 3, pg. 181-188.

- PICCs
- Prospective, non-randomized
- 3 sites
- n = 68
- Low dislodgment rate of 1.5% (0.7/1000 catheter days)
- Well tolerated by patients – average pain scores were very low (0.7 on 0-10 scale)
- 91.2% of patients had no securement-related malfunctions or device related complications

## **A Prospective Trial on a New Sutureless Securement Device for Central Venous Catheters**

Cordovani, D., Cooper, R., *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia*, May 2013, Vol. 60, No. 5, pg. 504-505.

- CVCs
- Prospective, non-randomized
- 2 sites
- n = 74
- 0% dislodgement
- No infections

## **POSTERS / PRESENTATIONS**

### **Effective PICC Securement – Creating an Ongoing Sustainable Environment**

Culverwell, E., Canterbury District Health, Oral Presentation IV Nursing New Zealand National Conference, March 2018

- Place approx. 1500 PICCs per year
- Started using SecurAcath 2 years ago
- PICC replacement rate of 9% with adhesive device
- SecurAcath had 0% replaced line rate, creating savings of \$59,250
- Infections dropped from 22 (1.4%) in 2015 (pre-SecurAcath use) to 10 (0.6%) with SecurAcath in 2017 creating savings of \$360,000
- Total hospital savings of \$419,250 per year in infection and replaced line costs by switching to SecurAcath

### **Securement of Central Venous Lines by Subcutaneously Anchored Sutureless Devices in Neonates and Children**

Pittiruti, et al., ESPNIC Poster Presentation, May 2017

- 85 central lines, 48 CICC, 37 PICC
- 73 patients (age range 20 days to 12 years)
- Secured with SecurAcath
- SecurAcath was effective in preventing dislocation in 99% of patients
- Complications at insertion, during maintenance and at removal were negligible

### **Implementation of a Quality Improvement Initiative Reduces PICC Migrations in a Complex Continuing Care Hospital**

Djurcic-Jovan, et al, The Ottawa Hospital, Poster Presentation, Canadian Vascular Access Association 2016

- PICCs
- n = 54
- 0% migration or dislodgement
- Cost savings due to reduced transport, repeat CXR and catheter replacement = \$20,215

## **Introducing SecurAcath into a Haematology/Oncology Setting**

Sandeluss, et al, Central Venous Access, Cancer Services, University College London Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Poster Presentation AVA 2013

- PICC lines
- n = 83
- 0% catheter dislodgement with SecurAcath, compared to a previous 7% rate with adhesive device
- No infections

## **Improving PICC Care in the Pediatric Patient**

Stone, et al, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, Poster Presentation AVA 2013

- PICC lines
- n = 42 pediatric patients
- 0% catheter dislodgement
- No complications or infections reported
- Experience in previous year showed 17 PICCs that had securement issues that resulted in compromised tip location when using adhesive securement device
- Trend indicates a significant reduction in catheter migration and dislodgement

## **Securing a More Stable PICC**

Dougherty, L., RN, DClinP, MSc, Nurse Consultant, Intravenous Therapy, Nursing, Rehabilitation & Quality Assurance, The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Poster Presentation AVA 2013

- PICC lines
- n = 30 patients
- No infections reported
- Additional clinical data provided by the author:
  - 3.1% dislodgement rate in prior year using adhesive securement device
  - Dislodgement rate reduced to 1.0% in first year of SecurAcath use

## **Cutting Edge Technology: Central Venous Line Securement Device**

Ballance, P., BS, RN-BC, VA-BC, Amanda Grant, RN, VA-BC, Wayne Memorial Hospital, Goldsboro, NC, Poster Presentation AVA 2013

- PICC Lines
- Site places 800 PICCs per year or 67 per month.
- Data from month using adhesive securement had 5 dislodgements or a 7.5% rate
- Data from month with SecurAcath had one dislodgement or a 1.5% rate

Complete articles are available on the SecurAcath website

<https://securacath.com/clinical-evidence/securacath-papers/>



181 Cheshire Lane, Suite 100  
Plymouth, MN 55441 USA  
+1.763.225.6699  
[www.securacath.com](http://www.securacath.com)

[www.securacath.com/patents](http://www.securacath.com/patents)  
SecurAcath is a trademark of Interrad Medical, Inc.  
© 2020 by Interrad Medical, Inc. All rights reserved.  
1329-021 Rev H